

**Two non-isometrically isomorphic spaces with the same duals. So that a such dual space could not be a  $W^*$ -algebra under any multiplication and involution.**

\*\*\*\*\*

$c_0$  and  $c$  are both closed subspaces of  $l^\infty$ . In addition for each  $x = (x_n) \in l^1$ ,  $\rho_x : c_0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$  given by  $(y_n) \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n y_n$  is a bounded linear functional on  $c_0$  with the norm  $\|\rho_x\| = \|x\|$ . Clearly  $c_0^\#$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $l^1$ . Also for each  $x = (x_n) \in l^1$ ,  $\eta_x : c \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$  given by  $(y_n) \mapsto x_1 \lim_n x_n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n y_n$  is a bounded linear functional on  $c$  with the norm  $\|\eta_x\| = \|x\|$ . Obviously  $c^\#$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $l^1$ . But by BA25.DVI the closed unit ball of  $c_0$  has no extreme point while the closed unit ball  $c$  contains at least  $(1, 1, 1, \dots)$  as an extreme point (since if  $1 = tx_n + (1-t)y_n$  with  $|x_n| \leq 1$  and  $|y_n| \leq 1$ , then  $1 = t\operatorname{Re}x_n + (1-t)\operatorname{Re}y_n$  for all  $n$ , so that  $\operatorname{Re}x_n = \operatorname{Re}y_n = 1$  and hence  $x_n = y_n = 1$  for each  $n$ ). Thus  $c_0$  and  $c_1$  are not isometrically isomorphic.

Now by [Sak1, Corollary 1.13.3],  $l^1$  can not be a  $W^*$ -algebra.

**Re.**

[Sak1] S. Sakai,  $C^*$ -algebras and  $W^*$ -algebras, Springer-Verlag, 1971.